

PERIYAR ARTS COLLEGE, CUDDALORE

REPORT OF ALUMNI FEEDBACK (2021-2022)-MEETING HELD ON 2ND APRIL 2022

Alumni Meet was held on the 2nd April 2022 at our Periyar Arts College, Cuddalore. Committee of Faculty members were appointed to conduct this meet. Students of previous batches were informed about the meet through different media. Each alumnus who was present for the said meet were warmly invited and asked with their present status and also about their memories at their time of study in the college. The present status of the college is well informed to Alumni and they were asked for suggestions to develop the College.

QUESTIONNAIRE:

Sl No.	Description	Answer			
1	Do You feel Proud to be associated with Periyar Arts College as Alumni?	Yes	No		
2	Were Your Grievances Properly handled at the College as a student/ an Alumnus	Yes	No		
3	Is your Education imparted at PAC useful in your present Job?	Yes	No		
4	Green Campus initiatives of the College like Restricted entry of automobiles Pedestrian Friendly pathways Ban on Use of Plastic Landscaping with Trees and Plants	Yes	No		
5	Have you been Taught about the values, duties, rights and responsibilities of the Citizen?	Yes	No		
6	Rate the Disabled friendly Environment of your College (like Ramps, Provision for enquiry and information, HumanAssistance etc)	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
7	Rate the Infrastructure facilities during your tenure as a student of the College	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
8	Rate the improvement in Infrastructure facilities over the past five Year	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
9	Rate Facilities for women on campus like a) Safety and security b) Counselling c) Common Rooms	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
10	Overall Rating of the College	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor

1. TOOL USED

Alumni Feedback was asked to indicate their levels of satisfaction during their course of study so as to use them for the growth of the college. Ten statements were given for the feedback, in which the first five are of YES / NO type and others were with four ratings namely, Very Good, Good, Fair and Poor for the given criteria of the feedback. Every item is mandatory for its response from each Alumnus. No time limit is specified for the completion of the Feedback, but appropriate time is given to complete the same. Instead the list of ten statements, the Name, Year of Study, Degree and Batch, Current Position, Employer Details and Mobile Number were asked. (Alumni Feedback was appended in Appendix)

2. SAMPLE OBTAINED

A total of **81 Alumni** responded the Alumni Feedback and indicate their level of satisfaction as per the given directions. Using the obtained general information, the distribution of Alumni was made as in the following table:

TABLE-1: DISTRIBUTION OF ALUMNI WHO WERE RESPONDED FOR THE ALUMNI FEEDBACK

S. No. (1)	Variable (2)	Sub-sample (3)	Number (4)	Total (5)
1	Gender	Male	77	81
		Female	4	
2	Course Studied	Under-graduation	77	81
		Post -graduation	4	
3	Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	81
		2000-2001 Batch and After	34	
4	Subject Studied	Arts	52	81
		Science	29	
5	Present Position	Government employed	53	81
		Private employed and Retired	28	

From the name given by the Alumni, it is found that there were 77 **Male** and 4 **Female** in the collection with respect to *Gender*. With regard to the *Course Studied* by the Alumni, two classifications namely **Under-graduation** Course and **Post-graduation** Course, and 77 Alumni studied Under-graduation and 4 studied Post-graduation Courses. On the basis of the classification made with *Year of Study*, there were 47 Alumni who were studied **Before 2000-2000 Batch** and 34 Alumni who were studied in the **2000-2002 Batch and After**. On the classification made with *Subject Studied*, there were 52 **Arts** and 29 **Science** Alumni. On the classification made with *Present Position*, there were 53 Alumni who were *Government employed* and 28 *Privately employed or Retired*.

3. ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES

The obtained responses of the Alumni of the ten statements were analyzed on the basis of the responses of each statement given by the Alumni. Since one of the subsamples of Gender and Course Studied were small, the report made with the other three variables namely Year of Study, Subject Studied and Present Position of the Alumni.

3.1 ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “DO YOU FEEL PROUD TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH PERIYAR ARTS COLLEGE AS ALUMNI?”

The responses obtained for the statement, “*Do you feel proud to be associated with Periyar Arts College as Alumni?*” for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Two options, YES and NO were given.

TABLE-2: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “Do you feel proud to be associated with Periyar Arts College as Alumni?”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81):			
Number of YES Response = 76 (93.80%) and Number of NO Response = 5 (6.20%)			
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response
			(YES)

Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	43 (98.50%)	4(8.50%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	33(97.10%)	1(2.90%)
Subject Studied	Arts	52	50(96.20%)	2(3.80%)
	Science	29	26(89.70%)	3(10.30%)
Present Position	Government Employed	53	50(94.30%)	3(5.70%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	26(92.90%)	2(7.10%)

The number of YES response is 76 (93.80%) shows that more Alumni felt proud to be associated with Periyar Arts College.

With regard to Year of Study, the Alumni of the category Before 2000-2001Batch, shows that more Alumni felt proud to be associated with Periyar Arts College than the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After.

On considering the Subject Studied, more Alumni of the Arts subject felt proud to be associated with Periyar Arts College than the category of Science subject.

With respect to the Present Position, more Alumni belong to the Government Employed felt proud to be associated with Periyar Arts College than the Private Employed and Retired.

3.2 ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “WERE YOUR GRIEVANCES PROPERLY HANDLED AT THE COLLEGE AS A STUDENT AS ALUMINUS?”

The responses obtained for the statement, *“Were Your Grievances properly handled at the College as a student as Alumnus?”* for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Two options, YES and NO were given.

TABLE-3: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “Were Your Grievances properly handled at the College as a student as Alumnus?”

<p>TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81): Number of YES Response = 64 (79.00%) and Number of NO Response = 17 (21.00%)</p>
--

Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response	
			(YES)	(NO)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	35 (74.50%)	12(25.60%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	29(85.30%)	5(14.70%)
Subject Studied	Arts	52	39(75.00%)	13(25.00%)
	Science	29	25(86.20%)	4(13.80%)
Present Position	Government Employed	53	43(81.10%)	10(18.90%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	21(75.00%)	7(25.00%)

The number of YES response is 64 (79.00%) shows that more Alumni are satisfied in handling their Grievances at the college.

With regard to Year of Study, the Alumni of the category 2000-2001 Batch and After, shows that more Alumni are satisfied in handling their Grievances at the college than the category of Before 2000-2001Batch.

On considering the Subject Studied, more Alumni of the Arts subject are satisfied in handling their Grievances at the college than the category of Science subject.

With respect to the Present Position, more Alumni belong to the Government Employed are satisfied in handling their Grievances at the college than the Private Employed and Retired.

3.3 ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “IS YOUR EDUCATION IMPARTED AT PAC USEFUL IN YOUR PRESENT JOB?”

The responses obtained for the statement, “*Is your Education imparted at PAC useful in your present job?*” for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Two options, YES and NO were given.

TABLE-4: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “*Is your Education imparted at PAC useful in your present job?*”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81): Number of YES Response = 72 (88.90%) and Number of NO Response = 9 (11.10%)				
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response	
			(YES)	(NO)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	40 (85.10%)	7(14.90%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	32(94.10%)	2(5.90%)
	Arts	52	47(90.40%)	5(9.60%)

Subject Studied	Science	29	25(86.20%)	4(13.80%)
Present Position	Government Employed	53	47(88.70%)	6(11.30%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	25(89.30%)	3(10.70%)

The number of YES response is 72 (88.90%) shows that more Alumni are satisfied with the Education imparted at PAC is useful in their present job.

With regard to Year of Study, the Alumni of the category 2000-2001 Batch and After, shows that more Alumni are satisfied with the Education imparted at PAC is useful in their present job than the category of Before 2000-2001Batch.

On considering the Subject Studied, more Alumni of the Arts subject are satisfied with the Education imparted at PAC is useful in their present job than the category of Science subject.

With respect to the Present Position, more Alumni belong to the Private Employed and Retired are satisfied with the Education imparted at PAC is useful in their present job than the Government Employed.

3.4 (1) ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “GREEN CAMPUS INITIATIVES OF THE COLLEGE LIKE RESTRICTED ENTRY OF AUTOMOBILES?”

The responses obtained for the statement, “*Green Campus initiatives of the college like restricted entry of automobiles?*” for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Two options, YES and NO were given.

TABLE-5: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “*Green Campus initiatives of the college like restricted entry of automobiles?*”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81): Number of YES Response = 51 (63.00%) and Number of NO Response = 30 (37.00%)				
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response	
			(YES)	(NO)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	31 (66.00%)	16(34.00%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	20(58.80%)	14(41.20%)
Subject Studied	Arts	52	31(59.60%)	21(40.40%)
	Science	29	20(69.00%)	9(31.00%)

Present Position	Government Employed	53	35(66.00%)	18(34.00%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	16(57.10%)	12(42.90%)

The number of YES response is 51 (63.00%) shows that more Alumni are initiating Green Campus like restricting entry of automobiles.

With regard to *Year of Study*, the Alumni of the category of Before 2000-2001Batch, shows that more Alumni are initiating Green Campus like restricting entry of automobiles than the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After.

On considering the *Subject Studied*, more Alumni of the Science subject are initiating Green Campus like restricting entry of automobiles than the category of Arts subject.

With respect to the *Present Position*, more Alumni belong to the Government Employed are initiating Green Campus like restricting entry of automobiles than the Private Employed and Retired.

3.4(2) ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “GREEN CAMPUS INITIATIVES OF THE COLLEGE LIKE PEDESTERIAN FRIENDLY PATHWAYS?”

The responses obtained for the statement, “*Green Campus initiatives of the college like Pedestrian Friendly pathways?*” for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Two options, YES and NO were given.

TABLE-6: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “*Green Campus initiatives of the college like Pedestrian Friendly pathways?*”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81): Number of YES Response = 55 (67.90%) and Number of NO Response = 26 (32.10%)				
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response	
			(YES)	(NO)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	31 (66.00%)	16(34.00%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	24(70.60%)	10(29.40%)
Subject Studied	Arts	52	33(63.50%)	19(36.50%)
	Science	29	22(75.90%)	7(24.19%)
Present Position	Government Employed	53	38(71.70%)	15(28.30%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	17(60.70%)	11(39.30%)

The number of YES response is 55 (67.90%) shows that more Alumni are initiating Green Campus like Pedestrian Friendly Pathways.

With regard to *Year of Study*, the Alumni of the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After, shows that more Alumni are initiating Green Campus like Pedestrian Friendly Pathways than the category of Before 2000-2001Batch.

On considering the *Subject Studied*, more Alumni of the Science subject are initiating Green Campus like Pedestrian Friendly Pathways than the category of Arts subject.

With respect to the *Present Position*, more Alumni belong to the Government Employed are initiating Green Campus like Pedestrian Friendly Pathways than the Private Employed and Retired.

3.4 (3) ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “GREEN CAMPUS INITIATIVES OF THE COLLEGE LIKE BAN ON USE OF PLASTIC?”

The responses obtained for the statement, “*Green Campus initiatives of the college like Ban on Use of Plastic?*” for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Two options, YES and NO were given.

TABLE-7: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “*Green Campus initiatives of the college like Ban on Use of Plastic?*”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81): Number of YES Response = 50 (61.70%) and Number of NO Response = 31 (38.30%)				
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response	
			(YES)	(NO)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	33 (70.20%)	14(29.80%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	17(50.00%)	17(50.00%)

Subject Studied	Arts	52	29(55.80%)	23(44.20%)
	Science	29	21(72.40%)	8(27.50%)
Present Position	Government Employed	53	37(69.80%)	16(30.20%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	13(46.40%)	15(53.60%)

The number of YES response is 50 (61.70%) shows that more Alumni are initiating Green Campus like Ban on Use of Plastic.

With regard to *Year of Study*, the Alumni of the category of Before 2000-2001Batch, shows that more Alumni are initiating Green Campus like Ban on Use of Plastic than the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After.

On considering the *Subject Studied*, more Alumni of the Science subject are initiating Green Campus like Ban on Use of Plastic than the category of Arts subject.

With respect to the *Present Position*, more Alumni belong to the Government Employed are initiating Green Campus like Ban on Use of Plastic than the Private Employed and Retired.

3.4 (4) TABLE-8: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “GREEN CAMPUS INITIATIVES OF THE COLLEGE LIKE LANDSCAPING WITH TREES AND PLANTS?”

The responses obtained for the statement, *“Green Campus initiatives of the college like Landscaping with Trees and Plants?”* for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Two options, YES and NO were given.

TABLE-8: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “Green Campus initiatives of the college like Landscaping with Trees and Plants?”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81):				
Number of YES Response = 63 (77.80%) and Number of NO Response = 18 (22.20%)				
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response	
			(YES)	(NO)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	34 (72.30%)	13(27.70%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	29(85.30%)	5(14.70%)
Subject Studied	Arts	52	38(73.10%)	14(26.90%)
	Science	29	25(86.20%)	4(13.80%)
Present Position	Government Employed	53	40(75.50%)	13(24.50%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	23(82.10%)	5(17.90%)

The number of YES response is 63 (77.80%) shows that more Alumni are initiating Landscaping with Trees and Plants.

With regard to *Year of Study*, the Alumni of the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After, shows that more Alumni are initiating Green Campus like Landscaping with Trees and Plants than the category of Before 2000-2001Batch.

On considering the *Subject Studied*, more Alumni of the Arts subject are initiating Green Campus like Landscaping with Trees and Plants than the category of Science subject.

With respect to the *Present Position*, more Alumni belong to the Private Employed and Retired are initiating Green Campus like Landscaping with Trees and Plants than the Government Employed.

3.5 ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “HAVE YOU BEEN TAUGHT ABOUT THE VALUES, DUTIES, RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE CITIZEN?”

The responses obtained for the statement, “*Have you been Taught about the values, duties, rights and responsibilities of the Citizen?*” for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Two options, YES and NO were given.

TABLE-9: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “*Have you been taught about the values, duties, rights and responsibilities of the Citizen?*”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81): Number of YES Response = 70 (86.40%) and Number of NO Response = 11 (13.60%)					
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response		
			(YES)	(NO)	
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	40 (85.10%)	7(14.90%)	
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	30(88.20%)	4(11.80%)	
Subject Studied	Arts	52	44(86.60%)	8(15.40%)	
	Science	29	26(86.20%)	3(13.80%)	
Present Position	Government Employed	53	47(88.70%)	6(11.30%)	
	Private Employed and Retired	28	23(82.10%)	5(17.90%)	

The number of YES response is 70 (86.40%) shows that more Alumni are saying that they were taught about the values, duties, rights and responsibilities of the Citizen.

With regard to Year of Study, the Alumni of the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After, shows that more Alumni are saying that they were taught about the values, duties, rights and responsibilities of the Citizen than the category of Before 2000-2001Batch.

On considering the Subject Studied, more Alumni of the Arts subject are saying that they were taught about the values, duties, rights and responsibilities of the Citizen than the category of Science subject.

With respect to the Present Position, more Alumni belong to the Government Employed are saying that they were taught about the values, duties, rights and responsibilities of the Citizen than the Private Employed and Retired .

3.6 ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “RATE THE DISABLED FRIENDLY ENVIRONMENT OF YOUR COLLEGE (LIKE RAMPS, PROVISION FOR ENQUIRY AND INFORMATION, HUMAN ASSISTANCE ETC.)?”

The responses obtained for the statement, *“Rate the Disabled Friendly Environment of your College (like Ramps, Provision for Enquiry and information, Human Assistance etc.)?”* for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Four options, VERY GOOD, GOOD, FAIR and POOR were given.

TABLE-10: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “Rate the Disabled Friendly Environment of your College (like Ramps, Provision for Enquiry and information, Human Assistance etc.)?”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81): Number of VERY GOOD Response = 1 (1.20%), Number of GOOD Response = 5 (6.20%), Number of FAIR Response = 34 (42.00%) and Number of POOR Response = 41 (50.60%)						
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response			
			(VERY GOOD)	(GOOD)	(FAIR)	(POOR)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	18 (38.30%)	17(36.20%)	1(2.10%)	11(23.40%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	11(32.40%)	17(50.00%)	6(17.60%)	0(0.00%)
Subject Studied	Arts	52	20(38.50%)	19(36.50%)	13(26.50%)	0(0.00%)
	Science	29	9(31.00%)	15(51.70%)	1(3.40%)	4(13.70%)

Present Position	Government Employed	53	20(37.70%)	21(39.60%)	3(5.70%)	9(13.00%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	9(32.10%)	13(46.40%)	6(21.40%)	0(0.00%)

The number of FAIR Response is 34 (42.00%) shows that more Alumni are rating the Disabled Friendly Environment of the college as FAIR.

With regard to Year of Study, the Alumni of the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After, shows that more Alumni are rating the Disabled Friendly Environment as GOOD whereas Alumni of the category of Before 2000-2001Batch shows that more Alumni are rating the Disabled Friendly Environment as VERY GOOD

On considering the Subject Studied, the Alumni of the category of Science, shows that more Alumni are rating the Disabled Friendly Environment as GOOD whereas Alumni of the category of Arts shows that more Alumni are rating the Disabled Friendly Environment as VERY GOOD.

With respect to the Present Position, the Alumni of the category of both Government Employed and Private Employed and Retired, shows that more Alumni are rating the Disabled Friendly Environment as GOOD.

3.7 ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “RATE THE INFRASTRUCTURE FACILITIES DURING YOUR TENURE AS A STUDENT OF THE COLLEGE?”

The responses obtained for the statement, “*Rate the Infrastructure facilities during your tenure as a student of the college?*” for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Four options, VERY GOOD, GOOD, FAIR and POOR were given.

TABLE-11: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “Rate the Infrastructure facilities during your tenure as a student of the college?”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81): Number of VERY GOOD Response = 0 (0.00%), Number of GOOD Response = 4 (4.90%), Number of FAIR Response = 32 (39.50%) and Number of POOR Response = 45 (55.60%)

Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response			
			(VERY GOOD)	(GOOD)	(FAIR)	(POOR)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	21 (44.70%)	17(36.20%)	9(19.10%)	0 (0.00%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	13(38.20%)	15(44.10%)	6(17.60%)	0(0.00%)
Subject Studied	Arts	52	24(46.20%)	17(32.70%)	11(21.20%)	0(0.00%)
	Science	29	10(34.50%)	15(51.70%)	4(13.70%)	0 (0.00%)
Present Position	Government Employed	53	22(41.50%)	19(35.80%)	12(22.70%)	0(0.00%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	12(42.90%)	13(46.40%)	3(10.70%)	0(0.00%)

The number of POOR Response is 45 (55.60%) shows that more Alumni are rating the Infrastructure facilities during their tenure of study as POOR.

With regard to *Year of Study*, the Alumni of the category of Before 2000-2001Batch, shows that more Alumni are rating the Infrastructure facilities during their tenure of study as VERY GOOD whereas Alumni of the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After shows that more Alumni are rating the Infrastructure facilities during their tenure of study as GOOD.

On considering the *Subject Studied*, the Alumni of the category of Science, shows that more Alumni are rating the Infrastructure facilities during their tenure of study as GOOD whereas Alumni of the category of Arts shows that more Alumni are rating the Infrastructure facilities during their tenure of study as VERY GOOD.

With respect to the *Present Position*, the Alumni of the category of Private Employed and Retired, shows that more Alumni are rating the Infrastructure facilities during their tenure of study as GOOD whereas the Alumni of the category of Government Employed, shows that more Alumni are rating the Infrastructure facilities during their tenure of study as VERY GOOD.

3.8 ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “RATE THE IMPROVEMENT IN INFRASTRUCTURE FACILITIES OVER THE PAST FIVE YEARS?”

The responses obtained for the statement, *“Rate the improvement in infrastructure facilities over the past five years?”* for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Four options, VERY GOOD, GOOD, FAIR and POOR were given.

TABLE-12: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, *“Rate the improvement in infrastructure facilities over the past five years?”*

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81): Number of VERY GOOD Response = 0 (0.00%), Number of GOOD Response = 4 (4.90%), Number of FAIR Response = 31 (38.30%) and Number of POOR Response = 46 (56.80%)						
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response			
			(VERY GOOD)	(GOOD)	(FAIR)	(POOR)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	24 (51.10%)	12(25.50%)	11(23.40%)	0 (0.00%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	10(29.40%)	19(55.90%)	5(14.70%)	0(0.00%)
Subject Studied	Arts	52	22(42.30%)	19(36.50%)	11(21.20%)	0(0.00%)
	Science	29	12(41.40%)	12(41.40%)	5(17.20%)	0 (0.00%)
Present Position	Government Employed	53	27(51.00%)	13(24.50%)	13(24.50%)	0(0.00%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	7(25.00%)	18(64.30%)	3(10.70%)	0(0.00%)

The number of POOR Response is 46 (56.80%) shows that more Alumni are rating the improvement in infrastructure facilities over the past five years as POOR.

With regard to *Year of Study*, the Alumni of the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After, shows that more Alumni are rating the improvement in infrastructure facilities over the past five years as GOOD whereas Alumni of the category of Before 2000-2001Batch shows that more Alumni are rating the improvement in infrastructure facilities over the past five years as VERY GOOD.

On considering the *Subject Studied*, the Alumni of the category of Arts, shows that more Alumni are rating the improvement in infrastructure facilities over the past five years as VERY GOOD whereas equal number of Alumni of the category of Science shows that more Alumni are rating the improvement in infrastructure facilities over the past five years as VERY GOOD and GOOD.

With respect to the Present Position, the Alumni of the category of Private Employed and Retired, shows that more Alumni are rating the improvement in infrastructure facilities over the past five years as GOOD whereas the Alumni of the category of Government Employed, shows that more Alumni are rating the improvement in infrastructure facilities over the past five years as VERY GOOD.

3.9 ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “RATE THE FACILITIES FOR WOMEN ON CAMPUS LIKE (A) SAFETY AND SECURITY, (B) COUNSELLING AND (C) COMMON ROOMS?”

The responses obtained for the statement, *Rate the facilities for women on campus like (a) Safety and Security, (b) Counselling and (c) Common Rooms?*” for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Four options, VERY GOOD, GOOD, FAIR and POOR were given.

TABLE-13: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “Rate the facilities for women on campus like (a) Safety and Security, (b) Counselling and (c) Common Rooms?”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81): Number of VERY GOOD Response = 1 (1.20%), Number of GOOD Response = 6 (7.40%), Number of FAIR Response = 35 (43.20%) and Number of POOR Response = 39 (48.20%)						
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response			
			(VERY GOOD)	(GOOD)	(FAIR)	(POOR)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	20 (42.60%)	14(29.80%)	13(27.60%)	0 (0.00%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	6(17.60.40%)	21(61.80%)	2(5.90%)	5(14.70%)
Subject Studied	Arts	52	17(32.70%)	22(42.30%)	3(5.80%)	10(19.20%)
	Science	29	9(31.00%)	13(44.80%)	3(10.30%)	4 (13.80%)
Present Position	Government Employed	53	19(35.80%)	19(35.80%)	15(28.30%)	0(0.00%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	7(25.00%)	16(57.10%)	1(3.60%)	4(14.30%)

The number of POOR Response is 39 (48.20%) shows that more Alumni are rating the facilities for women on campus like (a) Safety and Security, (b) Counselling and (c) Common Rooms as POOR.

With regard to Year of Study, the Alumni of the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After, shows that more Alumni are rating the facilities for women on campus like (a) Safety and Security, (b) Counselling and (c) Common Rooms as GOOD whereas Alumni of the category of Before 2000-2001Batch shows that more Alumni are rating the facilities for women on campus like (a) Safety and Security, (b) Counselling and (c) Common Rooms as VERY GOOD.

On considering the Subject Studied, the Alumni of the both category of Arts and Science, shows that more Alumni are rating the facilities for women on campus like (a) Safety and Security, (b) Counselling and (c) Common Rooms as VERY GOOD.

With respect to the Present Position, the Alumni of the category of Private Employed and Retired, shows that more Alumni are rating the facilities for women on campus like (a) Safety and Security, (b) Counselling and (c) Common Rooms as GOOD whereas the equal number of Alumni of the category of Government Employed, shows that more Alumni are rating the facilities for women on campus like (a) Safety and Security, (b) Counselling and (c) Common Rooms as VERY GOOD and GOOD.

3.10 ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “Overall Rating of the College?”

The responses obtained for the statement, *Overall Rating of the College?* for all the Alumni (N= 81) is analyzed. Four options, VERY GOOD, GOOD, FAIR and POOR were given.

TABLE-14: ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES OF THE STATEMENT, “Overall Rating of the College?”

TOTAL RESPONSE FOR ALL ALUMNI (N = 81):			
Number of VERY GOOD Response = 0 (0.00%), Number of GOOD Response = 0 (0.00%), Number of FAIR Response = 30 (37.00%) and Number of POOR Response = 51 (63.00%)			
Variable	Sub-sample	Number	Response

			(VERY GOOD)	(GOOD)	(FAIR)	(POOR)
Year of Study	Before 2000-2001Batch	47	24 (51.10%)	14(29.80%)	9(19.10%)	0 (0.00%)
	2000-2001 Batch and After	34	15(44.10%)	16(47.10%)	3(8.80%)	0(0.00%)
Subject Studied	Arts	52	25(48.10%)	19(36.50%)	8(15.40%)	0(0.00%)
	Science	29	14(48.30%)	11(37.90%)	4(13.80%)	0 (0.00%)
Present Position	Government Employed	53	26(49.10%)	17(32.10%)	10(18.90%)	0(0.00%)
	Private Employed and Retired	28	13(46.40%)	13(46.40%)	2(7.10%)	0(0.00%)

The number of POOR Response is 51 (63.00%) shows that more Alumni are rating the Overall College as POOR.

With regard to *Year of Study*, the Alumni of the category of Before 2000-2001Batch, shows that more Alumni are rating the Overall College as VERY GOOD whereas the Alumni of the category of 2000-2001 Batch and After, shows that more Alumni are rating the Overall College as GOOD.

On considering the *Subject Studied*, the Alumni of the both category of Arts and Science, shows that more Alumni are rating the Overall College as VERY GOOD.

With respect to the *Present Position*, the Alumni of the category of Government Employed, shows that more Alumni are rating the Overall College as GOOD whereas the equal number of Alumni of the category of Private Employed and Retired, shows that more Alumni are rating the Overall College as VERY GOOD and GOOD.